THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF POWER (SHRI P. V. RANGAYYA NAIDU): (a) to (c) The World Bank has cancelled its loan to Karnataka Electricity Board. The loan, earmarked for Power Project I & II, was cancelled because, in the opinion of the bank, the Karnataka Electricity Board had not complied with provisions of the loan convenants.

(d) COGENTRIX is an independent power company based in USA. The firm is negotiating with the Karnataka Electricity Board for setting up a 1000 MW coal based power plant at Managalore.

Resource mobilisation for Kayamkulam Thermal Power Project

594. SHRI M. A. BABY: Will the Minister of POWER be pleased to state:

(a) whether any financial tie up has been arrived at for the proposed Kayamkulam Thermal Power Project;

(b) if so, the details thereof;

(c) if not, the present status of the project; and

(d) the different proposals being considered for mobilizing resources and the respective stages of the same?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF POWER (SHRI P. V. RANGAYYA NAIDU): (a) to (d) No, Sir. However, the project has been posed to Overseas Economic Cooperation Fund (OECF) Japan for Financial assistance during 1994-95. Simultaneously, discussions are also on with private entrepreneurs for implementing the project as a joint venture. Implemenation of the project by a private proponent would imply that funds for the project would mobilised by the private proponent.

Joint venture for Kayamkulam Thermal Power Project

595. SHRI M. A. BABY: Will the Minister of POWER be pleased to state:

(a) whether the State Government of Kerala has submitted any proposal for joint venture with private sector for the Kayakulam Thermal Power Project;

(b) if so, the details thereof; and

(c) the response of the Central Government thereto?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF POWER (SHRI P. V. RANGAYYA NAIDU): (a) to (c) M|s. ICMC Power Consortium Inc. USA, has shown interest in the implementation of Kayamkulam Thermal Power Project in Kerala (2 x 210 MW) as a joint venture with the National Thermal Power Corporation (NTPC), Government of Kerala is agreeable to this proposal. The Central Government is yet to take a final decision in the matter.

Investment for the modernisation and Renovation of Thermal Plants

596. SHRI SATISH PRADHAN: Will the Minister of POWER be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Government have approved investment of

1600 crores for renovation and modernisation of 46 Thermal Plants;

(b) if so, what are the details therefor, State-wise;

(c) whether Government are considering the recommissioning of the closed Thermal Power Plant at Chola near Kalyan in Maharashtra; and

(d) if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF POWER (SHRI P. V. RANGAYYA NAIDU): (a) and (b) Yes, Sir. There are 46 thermal power plants covered under the Renovation and Modernisation programme (Phase-II). Out of these 45 schemes have been approved at an estimated cost of Rs. 1847.81 crores. One scheme relating to Bandel Thermal Power Station of West Bengal State Electricity Board (WBSEB) is yet to be submitted by WBSEB. State-wise and Plant wise details are given in the tatement (See below)

(c) and (d) The information is being collected ond will be laid on the Table of the House.

Statement

State-wise/Plant-wise details of the approved schemes under Renovation and Modernisation programme (Phase-II) of Thermal Power Plants

S. No.	Power Plant/Scheme	Approved Cost
1	2	3
		(Rs. in crores)
I.	*Andhra Pradesh*	
1	(i) Kothagudem ' A '	87.56
	(ii) Kothagudem 'B' & 'C'.	29.03
2	Nellore	13.85
II.	*Assam*	
3	Bongaigaon	8.80
4	Chandrapur	2.52
5	Kalthalguri & Galeky (Mobile GT's)	6.33
6	Lakwa	17.77
7	Namrup	32.68
III.	*Bihar*	
8	Patratu	75.83
9	Barauni	15.77
10	Muzaffarpur	2.92

1	2	3
IV.	*Gujarat*	
11	Ukai	27.0 8
12	Gandhinagar	0.90
13	Dhuvaran	1.39
14	Wanakbori	3.37
V.	*Haryana*	
15	Faridabad	10.50
16	Panipat	16.58
VI.	*Madhya Pradesh*	
17	Amarkantak	36.74
18	Korba (E)	77.10
19	Korba (W)	9.40
20	Satpura	91.20
VII.	*Maharashtra*	
21	Koradi	67.65
22	Nasik	121.22
23	Bhusawal	41.79
24	Paras	9.98
25	Chandrapur	43.48
26	Parli	54.16
VIII.	*Orissa*	
27	Talcher	110.40
IX.	*Punjab*	
28	Ropar	5.60
29	Bhatinda	7.50
X.	*Rajasthan*	
30	Kota	39.04
XI.	*Tamil Nadu*	
31	Ennore	68.90
32	Tuticorin	10.43

1	2	3
XII.	*Uttar Pradesh*	
33	Obra	143.67
34	Panki	15.10
35	Harduaganj	33.20
36	Paricha	10.13
XIII.	*West Bengal*	
37	Santaldih	12.77
38	Kolaghat (WBPDC)	9.26
39	Durgapur (DPL)	24.12
CENTRAL SECTOR		
XIV.	*DESU*	
40	Indraprastha	17.44
XV.	*D.V.C.*	
41	Bokaro	2.84
42	Chandrapur	27.22
43	Durgapur	14.41
XVI.	*NLC*	
44	Neyveli	250.00
XVII.	*NTPC*	
45	Badarpur	140.18
	TOTAL	1847.81

Power Shortage in North India

597. SHRI S. S. SURJEWALA: Will the Minister of POWER be pleased:

(a) whether Government are aware that North India, particularly the States of Haryana and Punjab faced shortage of power during the summer season this year and due to this production of paddy crop was badly affected in this region;

(b) if so, the steps taken by Government to bridge the gap of demand and supply of power in North India;

(c) whether the Yamunanagar Thermal Power Plant and Faridabad gas based power plant have been provided with financial tie-up; and